UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,323	01/09/2004	Brett W. Sareyka	0326	7488
	7500 10/05/200	١,		
FXAMINER			IINER	
Jackson and Ch		CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1111 01111		
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-1455			3633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
-		10/754,323	SAREYKA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeanette E. Chapman	3635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	/ 10 05T TO EVDIDE • MONTH	(O) OD TUIDTY (OO) DAYO			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/28/	<u>′07</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	_ ''					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.			
Attachmen			(070, 140)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔽 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 51/3/07	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/754,323

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beynon (3921363).

Beynon discloses a method of forming a connection in a suspended ceiling grid between cross beams 7a and a main beam 1, using a connector 12 on the end of a cross beam 7a that is stabbed through a slot 5/6 in a main beam 1 and an opposing identical connector 12a already in the slot; wherein the connector has a straight locking latch 14 that pivots from a base in the connector at a bend 19/19a to permit the latch to pass through the slot; the improvement comprises a bend 19/19a in the form of an arc, figure 3, so that the locking latch pivots along the arc towards the base to permit the latch to pass throught eh slot 5/6.

Such improvement delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch:
.... while a taper on the connector being stabbed through the slot 5/6 positions the connector vertically within the slot more quickly than without the delay: so that a longer lever arm 12/14 is created to apply force to pivot the locking latch as it is stabbed through the slot than would created without the delay:....so that the lateral friction created between the connector also ready in the slot and the connector that is being stabbed through the slot, is substantially reduced from the lateral friction created without the delay;so that during the delay , the connector being stabbed through the slot can be adjusted vertically to a position where it locks with the

Art Unit: 3635

connector already in the slot. The methods above requires substantially less force over a shorter distance with the improvements to lock the connectors to each other and to the main beam, than is required without the improvements. See column 4, lines 29 through column 6, lines 10. Also see the detent 19, cam surfaces 17' and 17 and the function of bending and twisting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 8-9 (is/are) rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beynon. Beynon lacks the radius arc and the dimensions of the latch shown in applicant's figure. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it of routine skill to select a radius and dimensions permitting the connector and locking latch to operate optimally and as intended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/754,323 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00, every fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3635